

## Problem Set 3 for Econometrics

due on next lecture

1. Suppose we conduct hypothesis testing on a simple linear regression with a sample size of 20,  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i$ .

(a) Let the hypothesis be

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0, \quad H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0,$$

and the t statistic is  $t_{\beta_1}$ . What would be the critical values for the Student t test?

(b) If  $t_{\beta_1} = 2.58$ , would you reject the hypothesis at 95% significance level? What is the p-value of your test?

(c) Suppose now that you have a strong prior believe that  $x$  should have a positive effect on  $y$ . Does this change critical value and p-value of your test?

2. Consider the following regression,

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 + \beta_5 x_5 + u.$$

Show how you would test the following hypotheses by running two regressions and computing an F-statistic. Show explicitly the regressions you would run and the degrees of freedom of each test statistic.

(a)  $\beta_1 = 0$ .

(b)  $\beta_1 = 0$  and  $\beta_4 = \beta_5$ .

(c)  $\beta_1 = 0$ ,  $\beta_3 = 0$ , and  $\beta_4/\beta_5 = 2$ .

3. To study the determinants of wage, we first estimate the following model,

$$\log(\text{wage}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{edu} + \beta_2 \text{edu}^2 + \beta_3 \text{expr} + u. \quad (1)$$

The EViews output is reported in Figure 1.

(a) From the results in Fig. 1, how much increase in wage would be expected to get if a person with 10 years of schooling hypothetically obtains one more year of education.

To see how parents' education affect children's income, we run another regression,

$$\log(\text{wage}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{edu} + \beta_2 \text{expr} + \beta_3 \text{mothedu} + \beta_4 \text{fathedu} + u, \quad (2)$$

where *mothedu* is the mother's education and *fathedu* is the father's education, which is measured by the number of years of schooling. The estimated model is reported in Fig. 2, and the covariance matrix for the estimators is given in Fig. 3.

(b) Test the statement that parents' education does NOT have any influence on children's income.

(c) Test the statement that fathers' education has the SAME influence on children's income as mothers' education.

Dependent Variable: LOG(WAGE)  
 Method: Least Squares  
 Date: 06/08/09 Time: 12:41  
 Sample: 1 1230  
 Included observations: 1230

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-0.760258	0.367499	-2.068736	0.0388
EDU	0.303465	0.050207	6.044235	0.0000
EDU^2	-0.006401	0.001826	-3.505894	0.0005
EXPR	0.031761	0.006750	4.705510	0.0000
R-squared	0.184599	Mean dependent var		2.413807
Adjusted R-squared	0.182604	S.D. dependent var		0.593715
S.E. of regression	0.536777	Akaike info criterion		1.596780
Sum squared resid	353.2473	Schwarz criterion		1.613413
Log likelihood	-978.0197	F-statistic		92.51840
Durbin-Watson stat	1.867559	Prob(F-statistic)		0.000000

Figure 1: Model 1.

Dependent Variable: LOG(WAGE)  
 Method: Least Squares  
 Date: 06/08/09 Time: 12:44  
 Sample: 1 1230  
 Included observations: 1230

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-0.879362	0.367754	-2.391166	0.0169
EDU	0.278142	0.050156	5.545512	0.0000
EDU^2	-0.005979	0.001815	-3.294175	0.0010
EXPR	0.033961	0.006719	5.054246	0.0000
MOTHEDU	0.008469	0.008635	0.980712	0.3269
FATHEDU	0.019956	0.006007	3.322108	0.0009
R-squared	0.197889	Mean dependent var		2.413807
Adjusted R-squared	0.194612	S.D. dependent var		0.593715
S.E. of regression	0.532820	Akaike info criterion		1.583599
Sum squared resid	347.4900	Schwarz criterion		1.608550
Log likelihood	-967.9137	F-statistic		60.39465
Durbin-Watson stat	1.868336	Prob(F-statistic)		0.000000

Figure 2: Model 2.

Coefficient Covariance Matrix						
	C	EDU	EDU^2	EXPR	MOTHEDU	FATHEDU
C	0.135243	-0.017026	0.000580	-0.001006	-0.000373	3.84E-05
EDU	-0.017026	0.002516	-8.94E-05	3.59E-05	-1.51E-05	-2.28E-05
EDU^2	0.000580	-8.94E-05	3.29E-06	1.27E-07	-7.64E-08	5.37E-07
EXPR	-0.001006	3.59E-05	1.27E-07	4.51E-05	-3.04E-07	2.75E-06
MOTHEDU	-0.000373	-1.51E-05	-7.64E-08	-3.04E-07	7.46E-05	-2.58E-05
FATHEDU	3.84E-05	-2.28E-05	5.37E-07	2.75E-06	-2.58E-05	3.61E-05

Figure 3: Model 2.

5% Critical Values of the F Distribution										
Denom DF	Numer DF									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10	4.97	4.10	3.71	3.48	3.33	3.22	3.14	3.07	3.02	2.98
11	4.84	3.98	3.59	3.36	3.20	3.10	3.01	2.95	2.90	2.85
12	4.75	3.89	3.49	3.26	3.11	3.00	2.91	2.85	2.80	2.75
13	4.67	3.81	3.41	3.18	3.03	2.92	2.83	2.77	2.71	2.67
14	4.60	3.74	3.34	3.11	2.96	2.85	2.76	2.70	2.65	2.60
15	4.54	3.68	3.29	3.06	2.90	2.79	2.71	2.64	2.59	2.54
16	4.49	3.63	3.24	3.01	2.85	2.74	2.66	2.59	2.54	2.49
17	4.45	3.59	3.20	2.97	2.81	2.70	2.61	2.55	2.49	2.45
18	4.41	3.56	3.16	2.93	2.77	2.66	2.58	2.51	2.46	2.41
19	4.38	3.52	3.13	2.90	2.74	2.63	2.54	2.48	2.42	2.38
20	4.35	3.49	3.10	2.87	2.71	2.60	2.51	2.45	2.39	2.35
21	4.33	3.47	3.07	2.84	2.69	2.57	2.49	2.42	2.37	2.32
22	4.30	3.44	3.05	2.82	2.66	2.55	2.46	2.40	2.34	2.30
23	4.28	3.42	3.03	2.80	2.64	2.53	2.44	2.38	2.32	2.28
24	4.26	3.40	3.01	2.78	2.62	2.51	2.42	2.36	2.30	2.26
25	4.24	3.39	2.99	2.76	2.60	2.49	2.41	2.34	2.28	2.24
26	4.23	3.37	2.98	2.74	2.59	2.47	2.39	2.32	2.27	2.22
27	4.21	3.35	2.96	2.73	2.57	2.46	2.37	2.31	2.25	2.20
28	4.20	3.34	2.95	2.71	2.56	2.45	2.36	2.29	2.24	2.19
29	4.18	3.33	2.93	2.70	2.55	2.43	2.35	2.28	2.22	2.18
30	4.17	3.32	2.92	2.69	2.53	2.42	2.33	2.27	2.21	2.17
40	4.09	3.23	2.84	2.61	2.45	2.34	2.25	2.18	2.12	2.08
60	4.00	3.15	2.76	2.53	2.37	2.25	2.17	2.10	2.04	1.99
90	3.95	3.10	2.71	2.47	2.32	2.20	2.11	2.04	1.99	1.94
120	3.92	3.07	2.68	2.45	2.29	2.18	2.09	2.02	1.96	1.91
inf	3.84	3.00	2.60	2.37	2.21	2.10	2.01	1.94	1.88	1.83

Figure 4: 5% Critical Values of F Distribution.

Right tail probability of t distribution									
df\p	0.4	0.25	0.1	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.0005	
1	0.325	1.000	3.078	6.314	12.706	31.821	63.657	636.619	
2	0.289	0.816	1.886	2.920	4.303	6.965	9.925	31.599	
3	0.277	0.765	1.638	2.353	3.182	4.541	5.841	12.924	
4	0.271	0.741	1.533	2.132	2.776	3.747	4.604	8.610	
5	0.267	0.727	1.476	2.015	2.571	3.365	4.032	6.869	
6	0.265	0.718	1.440	1.943	2.447	3.143	3.707	5.959	
7	0.263	0.711	1.415	1.895	2.365	2.998	3.499	5.408	
8	0.262	0.706	1.397	1.860	2.306	2.896	3.355	5.041	
9	0.261	0.703	1.383	1.833	2.262	2.821	3.250	4.781	
10	0.260	0.700	1.372	1.812	2.228	2.764	3.169	4.587	
11	0.260	0.697	1.363	1.796	2.201	2.718	3.106	4.437	
12	0.259	0.695	1.356	1.782	2.179	2.681	3.055	4.318	
13	0.259	0.694	1.350	1.771	2.160	2.650	3.012	4.221	
14	0.258	0.692	1.345	1.761	2.145	2.624	2.977	4.141	
15	0.258	0.691	1.341	1.753	2.131	2.602	2.947	4.073	
16	0.258	0.690	1.337	1.746	2.120	2.583	2.921	4.015	
17	0.257	0.689	1.333	1.740	2.110	2.567	2.898	3.965	
18	0.257	0.688	1.330	1.734	2.101	2.552	2.878	3.922	
19	0.257	0.688	1.328	1.729	2.093	2.539	2.861	3.883	
20	0.257	0.687	1.325	1.725	2.086	2.528	2.845	3.850	
25	0.256	0.684	1.316	1.708	2.060	2.485	2.787	3.725	
30	0.256	0.683	1.310	1.697	2.042	2.457	2.750	3.646	
inf	0.253	0.674	1.282	1.645	1.960	2.326	2.576	3.291	




Figure 5: Right-tail Probabilities of t Distribution